

## COMPUTER SIMULATION USING MSC ADAMS

**Darina Hroncová**

Department of Mechatronics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Košice, Park Komenského 8, 042 00 Košice, Slovakia, EU, darina.hroncova@tuke.sk

**Ingrid Delyová**

Department of Mechatronics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Košice, Park Komenského 8, 042 00 Košice, Slovakia, EU, ingrid.delyova@tuke.sk

**Keywords:** simulation, two-link manipulator, kinematic analysis, controller

**Abstract:** The goal of the presented paper is to compile a two-link model of manipulator and control the movement of the basket mounted at its end-effector. Authors focus on using MSC Adams in simulation of the motion of a two-link manipulator model. Attention is paid to kinematic and dynamic analysis of the manipulator, its modelling and control. The capability of MSC Adams Control Toolkit is used to design a control system which keeps the basket of the end-effector in horizontal position. Finally, the results obtained by computer simulation of the model are evaluated.

### 1 Introduction

A significant advantage of computer simulation of prototype models is the ability to immediately visualize modifications of design variants as well as the consequences of these changes on the behavior of the entire system.

Computer simulation allows to create a virtual prototype of a device and adjust it or create new variants of it without actually producing the real device. The change of behavior of these different variants of the model can be studied. By simulation we can also display the proposed model in its work cycle thus revealing possible collisions of its elements and evaluate different parameters of interest and visualize them in the software. The operation of the model can be understood and subsequently verified its efficiency. During the simulation the values of different parameters can be checked in respective output displays of the simulated model in real time [1,3-7].

### 2 Model of manipulator

The aim of the paper is to analyse the compiled model of a two link manipulator shown in the figure (Figure 1). From the kinematic point of view the manipulator structure is represented by an open kinematic chain [2-8].

The manipulator consists of two arms mounted on a solid base. The base ensures the manipulator stability in operation. The working tool, which in our case is a basket, is firmly attached to the end-effector of the manipulator. The manipulator then performs a working cycle with the basket. Our goal is to describe the motion of the end-effector. The next chapters describe the kinematic analysis of the motion of the end-effector [2-8,22].




Figure 1 Model of the two link manipulator

The next figure (Figure 2) shows the model of a two link manipulator created in MSC Adams [8,22]. It consists of two links of length  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ , with weights  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . We consider two degrees of freedom of movement. The arms are connected by rotating kinematic pairs to each other and by another rotating kinematic pair to the base. The drives are placed in respective kinematic pairs. The angle of rotation in kinematic pairs is denoted by angles  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . Kinematic equations describing the position of the end point M of the manipulator (Figure 2) depending on the angles of rotation  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are expressed as follows [2].

$$x_M = l_1 \cos \theta_1 + l_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \quad (1)$$

$$y_M = l_1 \sin \theta_1 + l_2 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \quad (2)$$




Figure 2 a) Model of the two link manipulator, b) model of the two link manipulator in MSC Adams/View

The driving torques  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are triggered by servo drives in individual kinematic pairs. They ensure the movement of the manipulator arms. The notation of dynamic equations of motion of the manipulator (Figure 1) can be briefly written according to [3-8]:

$$M(\theta)\ddot{\theta} + V(\theta, \dot{\theta}) + G(\theta) = \tau \quad (3)$$

where  $M(\theta)$  is the inertia matrix,  $V(\theta, \dot{\theta})$  is the Coriolis-centripetal vector,  $G(\theta)$  is the gravity vector and  $\tau$  is vector of actuator torques.

Equation (3) in our case represents a model with 2° of freedom of motion, a system of two 2nd order differential equations. The motion of the mechanism of the two link manipulator takes place in vertical plane, for this reason the influence of gravity is also taken into account. The equations of motion do not take into account the laxity and friction effects in the individual kinematic pairs nor the elastic deformations of the individual arms of the manipulator [9-13]. We consider the change of the weight of the basket due to the load of material present in the basket [22].

### 3 Computer simulation

A 3D computer model of a two link manipulator is created in MSC Adams. Modelling elements and procedures for the creation of bodies and their kinematic bonds were used. After proposing the model, the functionality is verified and the simulation is started Figure 3 [1,3-8,22].




Figure 3 Views of the rendered model

Figure 4 shows the simulation of the model with the compensating torque [9-14].




Figure 4 Views of the rendered model

Next we focus on controlling the position of the basket during the motion. The basket can be used for manipulation of materials of various kinds, namely solid, bulk or liquid. The control was performed in MSC Adams. It offers the possibility of creating a control system using different types of controllers. In our case, we use a proportional controller with gain  $K_p$ .

The compensating torque in Figure 5 is needed to control the current position of the basket with respect to the desired position during motion. The SFORCE\_1 torque secures the position of the basket in a horizontal position and thus prevents the loss of stability during the motion.




Figure 5 Compensating Torque SFORCE\_1

The stability of the basket is ensured with a control circuit by means of a balancing torque which stabilizes the basket during the motion. A feedback control circuit is provided, i.e. a torque controller to keep the basket in horizontal position during the motion of the arm [22]. There is a diagram of a closed feedback basket position control system with a proportional controller  $K_p$  in the next picture (Figure 6) [14-22].




Figure 6 The control system with proportional feedback

The control system ensures that the compensating torque keeps the end-effector in horizontal position and the loss of stability of the basket during the motion of the manipulator is prevented. The control circuit of the system is designed according to the diagrams in Figure 6 and Figure 7.




Figure 7 Scheme of control system with proportional controller

The mechanical system is controlled by the variable  $u$  according to the following equation:

$$u = K_p \cdot e \tag{4}$$

where in the diagram in Figure 7:

- $u$  – torque control action variable (torque\_gain) for compensating torque (SFORCE\_1),
- $x$  – desired angle ( $\theta_{desired} = 0^\circ$ ),
- $y$  – measured controlled variable ( $\theta_{actual}$ ),
- $e$  – difference (error) in the adding block:  $\theta_{sum\_sum} = \theta_{desired} - \theta_{actual}$ ,
- $z$  – fault quantity (prescribed movement of the mechanical system).

After compiling the block diagram of the control system we need to define the following elements:

- Design Variable
- State Variable
- Measure

We define the control system in MSC Adams using the Controls Toolkit. Its control blocks menu is shown in Figure 8 [22].




Figure 8 Controls Toolkit – Create Controls Block

The first input signal to the summation block is the desired value of the angle ( $\theta_{desired}$ ) between the  $x$  axis defined on the end-effector and the axis of the base reference system, which is zero. In the Create Control Blocks dialog box (Figure 7) we select the input signal  $f_i$  and define the desired input variable ( $\theta_{desired}$ ). We set the required value of the angle to  $0^\circ$ .

The control difference between the desired and the actual angle is defined in the *summing junction block* ( $\Sigma$ ). Subtracting the current angle value from the desired angle of the basket during the motion is  $\theta_{error}$  and is written to the *Database Navigator*.

The gain block provides the  $u$  signal amplification for control of the basket position compensation torque (SFORCE\_1). The input for the gain block is  $\theta_{error}$  variable created in the previous section. After setting the amount of gain and its input, an element (*torque\_gain*) is added to the *Database Navigator* (Figure 9).

After assigning the created function the variables are written and displayed in the *Database Navigator*. The content of the variables is in (Figure 9):




Figure 9 Database Navigator of the model of manipulator

Interactive simulation and visualization allows comfortable simulation of the model, model modifications and visualization of results. The graphs of output variables enable viewing the current values of the measured variables in real time during the actual simulation and its visualization (Figure 10).




Figure 10 The animation of the simulation and displacement of the end-effector

It is also possible to display the model in the current state and print the results prepared this way (Figure 10). Postprocessor is an integral part of the process of computer

modeling of a prototype and it is a very comfortable tool for creating, processing, modifying and presenting the results of simulation in the form of graphs [8-14]. It is also possible to create the output of the simulation in AVI format.

#### 4 Resulting kinematic parameters

The values of the calculated variables are displayed in a graphical form with the postprocessor. The magnitudes of the torques in rotating kinematic pairs when the weights of the arms are  $m_1 = 9.9779$  kg,  $m_2 = 7.1330$  kg and the weight of the basket  $m_b = 0.9348$  kg are in Figure 11 to Figure 13.




Figure 11 The graph of the torque in the joint 1, joint 2 and joint 3




Figure 12 The graph of the torque MOTION\_1, MOTION\_2 and SFORCE\_1




Figure 13 The graph of the angle theta actual, theta error and theta desired

The magnitudes of the moments in rotating kinematic pairs with increased arm and weight of the basket  $m_b = 0.9$  kg,  $m_b = 5$  kg and  $m_b = 10$  kg are calculated next. The magnitude of the moments in joints are shown in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3.

Table 1 The magnitude of the MOTION\_1

MOTION_1 (N·mm)		
	t=0.222 (sec)	t=0.5 (sec)
$m_b=0.9$ (kg)	838288.775	-156420.0
$m_b=5.0$ (kg)	84295.6345	-234500.0
$m_b=10.0$ (kg)	141230.0	-330530.0

Table 2 The magnitude of the MOTION\_2

MOTION_2 (N·mm)		
	t=0.5 (sec)	t=1.0 (sec)
$m_b=0.9$ (kg)	-52659.4247	51970.7031
$m_b=5.0$ (kg)	-88877.8319	85194.0907
$m_b=10.0$ (kg)	-133420.0	126050.0

Table 3 The magnitude of the SFORCE\_1

SFORCE_1 (N·mm)		
	t=0.638 (sec)	t=0.818 (sec)
$m_b=0.9$ (kg)	1060.8687	-1307.8291
$m_b=5.0$ (kg)	5677.3421	-6999.5562
$m_b=10.0$ (kg)	11354.7405	-13999.1839

Before starting the simulation a change of the magnitudes of individual moments in individual joints is expected, as well as change of the compensating moment of the end-effector [16,17]. The graphs obtained by the simulation are shown in (Figure 14 - 16). Only the torques are plotted. Angular variables as acceleration, speed and trajectories are not changed by the load. The resulting graphs are in the following figures.




Figure 14 The graphs of the torques in the joints with weight of the basket  $m_b=0.9$ kg




Figure 15 The graphs of the torques in the joints with weight of the basket  $m_b=5$ kg




Figure 16 The graphs of the torques in the joints with weight of the basket  $m_b=10\text{kg}$

We also calculated the values of position, velocity and acceleration of the basket (Figure 17 - 19) [20].




Figure 17 The graph of the position  $x_M$  and  $y_M$  of the end-effector




Figure 18 The graph of the velocity  $v_{xM}$  and  $v_{yM}$  of the end-effector




Figure 19 The graph of the acceleration  $a_{xM}$  and  $a_{yM}$  of the end-effector

The aim was also to obtain results from the control of the end-effector and prove the ability and functionality of the proposed regulator for the compensating torque. The obtained graphs of the actual and desired angle during the motion along the trajectory are shown in Figure 13. The

difference between these values is in negligible ranges in both cases which indicates a suitably designed and functional end-effector position control system [20-22].

## Conclusions

The values obtained from both simulations confirmed the increase and decrease of the acting torques in the joints of the manipulator. An increase in the load also revealed an increase in the torque required to move the basket along the trajectory. The opposite is true when reducing the load.

MSC Adams works with a 3D model [22]. The advantage is the possibility to simulate the motion of the prototype model and its control in the program environment and verification of the functionality in the form of 3D visualization. Based on the results obtained from the simulation it is possible to build a real model and design the drives. When designing drives for a mechanical system it is necessary to pay attention to the maximum magnitudes of the forces when handling various loads and so it is necessary to design the drive with the appropriate parameters for the specific purpose of use of the manipulator.

The proposed control of the stability of the end-effector by selecting the appropriate control system and setting the controller parameters is an important aspect for maintaining stability and managing load transfer. Based on the results of the simulation it can be stated that the proposed control system is functional and maintains the necessary stability during the motion of the manipulator. Simulation software is a suitable tool for design, saving time and resources. It is also suitable for detailed research and investigation of mechanical systems in practice.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank to Slovak Grant Agency project VEGA 1/0389/18 and VEGA 1/0290/18, grant projects KEGA 018 TUKE-4/2018 and grant projects KEGA 030 TUKE-4/2020 supported by the Ministry of education of Slovak Republic.

## References

- [1] HRONCOVÁ, D., DELYOVÁ, I., FRANKOVSKÝ, P.: Kinematic Analysis of Mechanism Using MSC Adams, *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, Vol. 611, No. 7, pp. 83-89, 2014.
- [2] BAKŠI, J.: *Control of a two-link 2-DOF robot manipulator with variable load*, Diploma thesis, Technical university of Kosice, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Mechatronics, Slovakia, 2017. (Original in Slovak)
- [3] DELYOVÁ, I., HRONCOVÁ, D., FRANKOVSKÝ, P.: Analysis of Simple Mechanism Using MSC Adams, *Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 141-145, 2014.
- [4] DELYOVÁ, I., HRONCOVÁ, D., FRANKOVSKÝ, P.: *Kinematic analysis of movement of a point of a*

## COMPUTER SIMULATION USING MSC ADAMS

Darina Hroncová; Ingrid Delyová

- simple mechanism*, 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference Modelling of mechanical and mechatronics systems, Košice: KAMaM, Technical University Košice, Herľany, Slovakia, 2011.
- [5] ŠARGA, P., HRONCOVÁ, D., RÁKAY, R.: The MSC Adams View and Simulation of Crank Rocker Mechanism, *American Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, Vol. 3, No. 6, pp. 161-164, 2015.
- [6] HRONCOVÁ, D., RÁKAY, R., LIPTÁK, T.: Sim Mechanics and Forward and Inverse Problem of Dynamics, *Journal of Automation and Control*, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 58-61, 2015.
- [7] HRONCOVÁ, D., FRANKOVSKÝ, P., BETTES, G.: Kinematical Analysis of Crank Slider Mechanism with Graphical Method and by Computer Simulation, *American Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, Vol. 4, No. 7, pp. 329-343, 2016.
- [8] VAVRO, J. Jr., VAVRO, J., KOVÁČIKOVÁ, P., BEZDEDOVÁ, R., HÍREŠ, J.: Kinematic and Dynamic Analysis and Distribution of Stress in Items of Planar Mechanisms by Means of the MSC Adams Software, *Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 267-270, 2017.
- [9] MIKOVÁ, E., GMITERKO, A., FRANKOVSKÝ, P., HRONCOVÁ, D.: Impact of dynamics of the frame on the performance of the positioning servosystem, *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, Vol. 13, No. 5, pp. 1-6, 2016.
- [10] KELEMENOVÁ, T., FRANKOVSKÝ, P., VIRGALA, I., MIKOVÁ, E., KELEMEN, M.: Machines for inspection of pipes, *Acta Mechatronica*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1-7, 2016.
- [11] HRONCOVÁ, D., GMITERKO, A., LIPTÁK, T., BRUCHÁNEK, M.: Computer Simulation of the Mechanical System with One Degree of Freedom, *Acta Mechatronica*, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 13-18, 2019.
- [12] VIRGALA, I., MIKOVÁ, E., VARGA, M., KELEMEN, M.: Didactic Model of Controlled Water Tank System, *Acta Mechatronica*, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 1-5, 2019.
- [13] VIRGALA, I., MIKOVÁ, E., VARGA, M., KELEMEN, M.: Microcontroller for Mechatronic Systems, *Acta Mechatronica*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 7-12, 2017.
- [14] VIRGALA, I., MIKOVÁ, E., KELEMEN, M., HRONCOVÁ, D.: Snake Like Robot, *Acta Mechatronica*, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 7-10, 2018.
- [15] GMITERKO, A., MIKOVÁ, E., ŠARGA, P., BOBOVSKÝ, Z.: *Control of technical systems*, TU Košice, 2013. (Original in Slovak)
- [16] MIKOVÁ, E., GMITERKO, A., KELEMEN, M., VIRGALA, I., PRADA, E., HRONCOVÁ, D., VARGA, M.: Motion control of nonholonomic robots at low speed, *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, Wien: Technische Universität Wien, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp.1-12.
- [17] LIPTÁK, T., KELEMEN, M., GMITERKO, A., VIRGALA, I., HRONCOVÁ, D.: The control of holonomic system, *Acta Mechatronica*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 15-20, 2016.
- [18] PIRNÍK, R., HRUBOŠ, M., NEMEC, D., BOŽEK, P.: Navigation of the autonomous ground vehicle utilizing low-cost inertial navigation, *Acta Mechatronica*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 19-23, 2016.
- [19] BOŽEK, P., TURYGIN, Y.: Measurement of the operating parameters and numerical analysis of the mechanical subsystem, *Measurement Science Review*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 198-203, 2014.
- [20] ABRAMOV, I. V., NIKITIN, Y. R., ABRAMOV, A. I., SOSNOVICH, E. V., BOŽEK, P.: Control and Diagnostic Model of Brushless DC Motor, *Journal of Electrical Engineering*, Vol. 65, No. 5, pp. 277-282, 2014.
- [21] JURUŠ, O., SUKOP, M., ŠPAK, M., ŠTOFA, M.: Manipulator Arm for Robot SCORPIO, *American Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, Vol. 4, No. 7, pp. 349-352, 2016.
- [22] Adams, The Multibody Dynamics Simulation Solution: [Online], Available: <http://www.mscsoftware.com/product/adams> [20 june 2020], 2020.