

doi:10.22306/am.v3i1.27

Received: 12 Jan. 2018 Accepted: 23 Jan. 2018

CONDITION OF MEASUREMENT CHAIN WITH POSITION SENSOR

Tatiana Kelemenová

Technical University of Kosice, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Letna 9, Kosice, Slovak Republic, tatiana.kelemenova@tuke.sk (corresponding author)

Eduard Jakubkovič

Technical University of Kosice, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Letna 9, Kosice, Slovak Republic, eduard.jakubkovic@tuke.sk

Keywords: measurement, calibration, uncertainty, gauge

Abstract: The paper deals with experimental identification of condition of measurement chain with position sensor. The position sensor is industrial version used mainly for measurement of position of any moving parts. It uses technology of conductive plastic. Gauge length blocks are used for identification of its condition.

1 Introduction

Measurement of position is very frequently measured quantity in practice. The potentiometer sensor has a long tradition of using. Last years the using of this principle has increased, because of its disadvantage. A lot of books have mentioned about its disadvantages like noise, oxidation of wiper and resistive road, short life etc. In this days situation is changes, because the new technologies and materials have been developed. Modern potentiometer sensors have an excellent properties, low noise, long life, low uncertainty etc [1-13].

2 Position sensor measurement chain

The sensor has body with vibration damped element and no wiper bounce in high vibration environments. It has smooth operation under large misalignment. Wiper is made from precious metal with high corrosion resistance, low noise and high performance. The simplicity of this sensor enables to use it with simple controllers. It is possible to execute absolute continuous measurement. Selected properties of the sensor are listed in the table 1 below [1].

Table 1. Selected	parameters of the tested	sensor [1]	
-------------------	--------------------------	------------	--

Total Mechanical dimension	70x 62 x 900 mm
Total Mechanical Travel	780 mm
Independent Linearity	0,1%
Total Resistance	10kΩ
Operating Temperature	-60°C až 100°C
Resolution	Infinite

Calibration of the sensor has been executed in accordance with standards (EA-4-02rev01) [2]. Position of the wiper has been adjusted with length gauges. Length gauges has been composed into the block of the length gauges. Full range of the sensor has been compared with block of the gauges at every millimetre. Electrical

resistance between wiper and one end has been assigned to every block of length gauges (every millimetre ten times).



Figure 1 Position sensor measurement chain



It is recommended to do calibration for every millimetre ten times in industrial practise. Ten times

measured every value is minimum, which enables to evaluate standard uncertainty of type A (see standards EA-4-02rev01) [2].

3 Calibration of the measurement chain

Two packages of the length gauges have to be used for the calibration process. The Gloves has been necessary for the manipulation with these gauges. Process needs very high attention and a lot of time. Temperature if the room has to be regulated via air condition at the 20°C. Sensor and package of the length gauges has to be placed in laboratory with stabilized air temperature all day before measurements. Every piece of the length gauges is conserved with vaseline to avoid the corrosion of the length gauges. So, every piece is necessary to unconserve with denatured alcohol before using.

Volume: 3 2018 Issue: 1 Pages: 1-6 ISSN 2453-7306

Consequently, observance of every these mentioned rules causes that calibration process is very complicated and difficult for time.

Measured data have been stored into the evaluation table. It is possible to evaluate static characteristic shown on figure 3.



Figure 3 Static characteristic of the measurement chain

Measured data has nonlinear dependence. That is difference from information mentioned via producer noted in table 1. Dependence can be fitted with polynom of 2nd degree. Also maximum range of the output electrical resistance exceeds the mentioned total resistance $10k\Omega$.

This characteristic enables to recalculate the measured electrical resistance to linear position of the wiper from the end of the sensor. The approximation regression equation (shown on figure 3) can be inserted into the evaluation subsystem for calculation of the measured position. But, how we can believe it? How is the measured data and equation exactly? It is necessary to give answers for these questions.

4 Uncertainty balance

The uncertainty of measurement is a parameter, associated with the result of a measurement that characterizes the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand. Term uncertainty is also used for uncertainty of measurement if there is no risk of misunderstanding.

Sensor producer doesn't note uncertainty of measurement. Consequently, it is necessary to obtain this information from calibration process.

For a random variable the variance of its distribution or the positive square root of the variance, called standard deviation, is used as a measure of the dispersion of values. The standard uncertainty of measurement associated with the output estimate or measurement result y, denoted by u(y), is the standard deviation of the measurand Y [2].

The uncertainty of measurement associated with the input estimates is evaluated according to either a 'Type A' or a 'Type B' method of evaluation. The Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty is the method of evaluating the uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations. In this case the standard uncertainty is the experimental standard deviation of the mean that follows from an averaging procedure or an appropriate regression

analysis. The Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is the method of evaluating the uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observations. In this case the evaluation of the standard uncertainty is based on some other scientific knowledge [2].

The Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty can be applied when several independent observations have been made for one of the input quantities under the same conditions of measurement (minimum of 10 samples of measurement). If there is sufficient resolution in the measurement process there will be an observable scatter or spread in the values obtained [2].

The proper use of the available information for a Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty of measurement calls for insight based on experience and general knowledge. It is a skill that can be learned with practice. Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty can be obtained from various sources as [2]:

• previous measurement data,

• experience with or general knowledge of the behaviour and properties of relevant materials and instruments,

- manufacturer's specifications,
- data provided in calibration and other certificates,

• uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks.

Volume: 3 2018 Issue: 1 Pages: 1-6 ISSN 2453-7306

Electrical resistivity has been measured via multimeter and manufacturer provide specification for type B evaluation of the standard uncertainty of measurement. It is possible to specify equation (1):

$u_B = \pm (0,0025\% measured_value + 0,0005\% scale_range) (1)$

Figure 4 shows the standard uncertainty of measurement for values of electrical resistance measured via multimeter. Type B evaluation is much smaller then type A evaluation. So, it is possible the evaluation B neglected in the next evaluation process. It means that multimeter used in calibration process has been well selected.

Recalculation of the standard uncertainty of electrical resistance measurement to standard uncertainty of position measurement is possible via using regression math model obtained from analysis shown on figure 3. Figure 5 shows the standard uncertainty for position measurement.



Figure 4 The standard uncertainty of measurement for electric resistance of the sensor measured in calibration process



Figure 5 The standard uncertainty of position measurement

Temperature in laboratory has been maintained on value $20^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$.

Expanded uncertainty of measurement U(2), obtained by multiplying the standard uncertainty u(y) of the output estimate y by a coverage factor k [2],

$$U = k \cdot u \tag{2}$$

Coverage factor should be defined via sensor manufacturer, but datasheet has no information about it. Best way how to find value of coverage factor is experiment. It is known that coverage factor depends on measurement data distribution.

Identification of the measurement data distribution has done for four random selected values from sensor range. Every value has been measured 100 times at the same conditions. These values have been evaluated into histograms. One of them is shown on figure 6. All explored values are distributed according to Normal law of distribution of measured values. It means that for significance level P=0.95 is coverage factor equals to value 2.



Copyright © Acta Mechatronica, www.actamechatronica.eu



CONDITION OF MEASUREMENT CHAIN WITH POSITION SENSOR

Tatiana Kelemenová; Eduard Jakubkovič

5 Conclusion

Figure 7 shows the expanded uncertainty for position measurement. Expanded uncertainty means the interval about mean value (obtained as average of measured data)

where is located true value of measurement with probability 95%.

The expanded uncertainty means how can we believe to examined sensor in measurement process. The expanded uncertainty is as inseparable part of measurement result [14-32].



Figure 7 Measurement sensor chain – expanded uncertainty

Acknowledgement

The work has been accomplished under the research project APVV-15-0149, VEGA 1/0224/18, KEGA 006STU-4/2018 financed by the Slovak Ministry of Education.

References

- PAWLAK, A. M.: Sensors and Actuators in Mechatronics, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, 2007.
- [2] EA-4/02 *Expression of the Uncertainty of Measurement in Calibration*, European co-operation Accreditation Publication Reference, December 1999.
- [3] JURIŠICA, L., VITKO, A., DUCHOŇ, F., KAŠTAN, D.: Statistical Approach to GPS Positioning of Mobile Robot, *Control Engineering and Applied Informatics*, Vol. 12, No. 2, p. 44-51, 2010.
- [4] VITKO, A., JURIŠICA, L., KĽÚČIK, M., MURÁR, R., DUCHOŇ, F.: Sensor Integration and Context Detection in Mechatronic Systems, In: *Mechatronika* 2008, Proceedings of 11th International Conference on Mechatronics. Slovakia, Trenčianske Teplice, June 4-6, 2008. - Trenčín : Trenčianska univerzita Alexandra Dubčeka v Trenčíne, pp. 49-53, 2008.
- [5] KONIAR, D., HARGAŠ, L. and HRIANKA, M.: Application of standard DICOM in LabVIEW, Proc. of

7th conf. *Trends in Biomedical Engineering*, Kladno 11. – 13. 9. 2007, 2007.

- [6] VITKO, A., JURIŠICA, L., KĽÚČIK, M., MURÁR, R., Duchoň, F.: Embedding Intelligence Into a Mobile Robot, AT&P Journal Plus, No. 1: Mobile robotic systems (2008), pp. 42-44, 2008.
- [7] BOŽEK, P.: Robot path optimization for spot welding applications in automotive industry, *Tehnicki vjesnik / Technical Gazette*, Sep/Oct2013, Vol. 20, Issue 5, pp. 913-917, 2013.
- [8] DUCHOŇ, F., BABINEC, A., KAJAN, M., BEŇO, P., FLOREK, M., FICO, T., JURIŠICA, L.: Path planning with modified a star algorithm for a mobile robot, *Procedia Engineering*, Vol. 96, pp. 59-69, 2014.
- [9] PÁSZTÓ, P., HUBINSKÝ, P.: Mobile robot navigation based on circle recognition, *Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol.* 64, No. 2, pp. 84-91, 2013.
- [10] ABRAMOV, I. V., NIKITIN, Y. R., ABRAMOV, A. I., SOSNOVICH, E. V., BOŽEK, P.: Control and Diagnostic Model of Brushless DC Motor, *Journal of Electrical Engineering*, Vol. 65, Issue 5, pp. 277–282, 2014.
- [11] KONIAR, D., HARGAŠ, L., ŠTOFAN, S.: Segmentation of Motion Regions for Biomechanical Systems, *Procedia Engineering*, Vol. 48, pp. 304-311, 2012.



- [12] FATIKOW, S. & REMBOLD. U.: *Microsystem Technology and Microrobotics*. Berlin Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [13] CHUDÝ, V., PALENČÁR, R., KUREKOVÁ, E., HALAJ, M.: *Measurement of technical quantities*, Edition of STU, 1st ed., 1999. (Original in Slovak)
- [14] JCGM 100 Evaluation of measurement data Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (ISO/IEC Guide 98-3). First edition September 2008. Online, Available: http://www.iso.org/sites/JCGM/G UM-JCGM100.htm; http://www.bipm.org/en/publications/guides/gum_pr

int.html

- [15] JCGM 104 Evaluation of measurement data An introduction to the "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement" (ISO/IEC Guide 98-1). First edition July 2009. Online, Available: http://www.bipm.org/en/publications/guides/gum_pr int.html
- [16] JCGM 200 International vocabulary of metrology Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM) 3rd edition (2008 version with minor corrections). © JCGM 2012, Online, Available: http://www.iso.org/sites/JCGM/VIM-JCGM200.htm
- [17] KREITH, F.: *The Mechanical Engineering Handbook* Series, CRC PRESS, New York, 1999.
- [18] MELOUN, M., MILITKÝ, J.: Statistical analysis of experimental data, Praha: Academia, 2004. (Original in Czech)
- [19] EA-4/02 Expression of the Uncertainty of Measurement in Calibration, European co-operation Accreditation Publication Reference, December 1999.
- [20] MSA 104/97 Expression of the Uncertainty of Measurement in Calibration, (EAL-R2) - Expression of the Uncertainty of Measurement in Calibration, Slovenská národná akreditačná služba, SNAS BRATISLAVA, December 1997.
- [21] MSA 104/D1-98 Appendix 1 for MSA 104-97 Expressing of measurement uncertainties in Calibration (in Slovak) (EAL-R2-S1), (EA-4/02-S1) Supplement 1 to EAL-R2 Expression of the uncertainty of measurement in calibration. Slovak national accreditation service, SNAS BRATISLAVA, October 1998.
- [22] MSA-L/11 Guidelines on the expression of uncertainty in quantitative testing (In Slovak) (EA -4/16: 2003). Guidelines on the expression of uncertainty in quantitative testing. Slovak national accreditation service, SNAS BRATISLAVA, August 2009.
- [23] MSA–L/12 Expression of the uncertainty of measurement in calibration (In Slovak) (EA-4/02) -

Expression of the uncertainty of measurement in calibration, Slovak national accreditation service, SNAS BRATISLAVA, November 2010.

- [24] TAYLOR, B. N. and KUYATT, C. E.: Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results, NIST Technical Note 1297, 1994.
- [25] TPM 0050-92 Etalons. Expressing of errors and uncertainties, Metrological Technical Directive, Slovak Metrological Institute, Bratislava, 1992. (Original in Slovak)
- [26] TPM 0051-93 Expressing of uncertainties in measurement, Metrological Technical Directive, Slovak Metrological Institute, Bratislava, 1993. (Original in Slovak)
- [27] WIMMER, G., PALENČÁR, R., WITKOVSKÝ, V.: Stochastic models of measurement, Graphic Studio Ing. Peter Juriga, Ľ. Fullu 13, 841 05 Bratislava. 1st ed., 2001. (Original in Slovak)
- [28] PALENCAR, R., SOPKULIAK, P., PALENCAR, J. et al.: Application of Monte Carlo Method for Evaluation of Uncertainties of ITS-90 by Standard Platinum Resistance Thermometer, Measurement Science Review, Vol. 17, Issue 3, pp. 108-116, Jun 2017.
- [29] SOPKULIAK, P., PALENCAR, R., PALENCAR, J., et al.: Evaluation of Uncertainties of ITS-90 by Monte Carlo Method, Conference: 6th Computer Science On-Line Conference (CSOC) Location: Zlin, CZECH REPUBLIC Date: Apr., 2017, CSOC2017, Vol. 2, Book Series: Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, Vol. 574, pp. 46-56, 2017.
- [30] SPANIKOVA, G., SPANIK, P., FRIVALDSKY, M. et al.: Electric model of liver tissue for investigation of electrosurgical impacts, *Electrical Engineering*, Vol. 99, Issue 4. pp 1185–1194, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00202-017-0625-0.
- [31] KURIC, I., BULEJ, V., SAGA, M., POKORNÝ, P.: Development of simulation software for mobile robot path planning within multilayer map system based on metric and topological maps, *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems* Vol. 14, Issue 6, pp. 1-14, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1177/1729881417743029.
- [32] HARGAS, L., KONIAR, D., SIMONOVA, A., HRIANKA, M., LONCOVA, Z.: Novel Machine Vision Tools Applied in Biomechatronic Tasks, *Procedia Engineering*, Vol. 96, pp. 148-156, 2014.

Review process

Single blind peer review process.